


ARGUMENT

Claims 1-22 are active.


The Examiner finds that Claims 1, 4, 11 and 21 are properly rejected under 35 USC 102(b) citing Vasa *et al* and specifically the compound identified on page 4 of the Action.

It is respectfully noted that the this compound does not anticipate the rejected claims because the naphthyl group is linked to the rest of the structure via the benzylic position and is different from the claims which links the naphthyl through position 2. These clear differences are indicated in the structure of formula (I) where the arrow indicates the position of binding in Vasa:



See also Vasa's compound cited in the

rejection:




U.S. application serial no. 10/565,976
Reply to the Official Action of May 19, 2010

It is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn, consider and search the non-elected species, and a Notice of Allowance indicating all pending claims are allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Daniel J. Pereira

Registration No. 45,518

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 08/07)